

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action mailed February 3, 2004, the Examiner expresses concern regarding the support by the specification for the newly claimed limitations. Applicant's undersigned attorney believes that the Amendment submitted on January 22, 2004 was fully responsive but is submitting the explanation below to expedite the prosecution of the application. Therefore, we submit the following comments regarding claim 12 which is fully supported in the specification.

Claim 12, first paragraph, is supported in the specification on page 13, line 16 – page 14, line 5;

Claim 12, second paragraph, is supported in the specification on page 18, lines 2-5, Figs. 4 and 5;

Claim 12, third paragraph, is supported in the specification on page 14, lines 20-23; and

Claim 12, fourth paragraph, is supported in the specification on page 14, line 25 – page 20, line 24 – page 22, line 12.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, Applicant's attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP



Kenneth M. Berner
Registration No. 37,093

USPTO Customer No. 22429
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 684-1111
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile
Date: February 22, 2004